



Understory Vegetation in Old-Growth and Second-Growth *Tsuga Canadensis* Forests in Western Massachusetts

Citation

D'Amato, Anthony W., David A. Orwig, and David R. Foster. 2009. Understory vegetation in old-growth and second-growth *Tsuga canadensis* forests in western Massachusetts. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257(3): 1043-1052.

Published Version

doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.11.003

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:4317710>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

RUNNING HEAD: Old-growth forest understories

TITLE: Understory Vegetation in Old-Growth and Second-Growth *Tsuga canadensis* Forests in
Western Massachusetts

AUTHORS:

Anthony W. D'Amato^{1,2,3*}

David A. Orwig²

David R. Foster²

¹Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108

²Harvard Forest, Harvard University, 324 N. Main St., Petersham, MA 01366

³Department of Natural Resources Conservation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA
01003.

*Corresponding author:

Dept. of Forest Resources

University of Minnesota

115 Green Hall

1530 Cleveland Avenue North

St. Paul, MN 5508

damato@umn.edu

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 We compared the understory communities (herbs, shrubs, and tree seedlings and saplings) of
3 old-growth and second-growth eastern hemlock forests (*Tsuga canadensis*) in western
4 Massachusetts, USA. Second-growth hemlock forests originated following clearcut logging in
5 the late 1800s and were 108 to 136 years old at the time of sampling. Old-growth hemlock
6 forests contained total ground cover of herbaceous and shrub species that was approximately 4
7 times greater than in second growth forests (4.02 ± 0.41 versus 1.06 ± 0.47 %/m²) and supported
8 greater overall species richness and diversity. In addition, seedling and sapling densities were
9 greater in old-growth stands compared to second-growth stands and the composition of these
10 layers was positively correlated with overstory species composition (Mantel tests, $r > 0.26$, $P <$
11 0.05) highlighting the strong positive neighborhood effects in these systems. Ordination of study
12 site understory species composition identified a strong gradient in community composition from
13 second-growth to old-growth stands. Vector overlays of environmental and forest structural
14 variables indicated that these gradients were related to differences in overstory tree density,
15 nitrogen availability, and coarse woody debris characteristics among hemlock stands. These
16 relationships suggest that differences in resource availability (e.g., light, moisture, and nutrients)
17 and microhabitat heterogeneity between old-growth and second-growth stands were likely
18 driving these compositional patterns. Interestingly, several common forest understory plants,
19 including *Aralia nudicaulis*, *Dryopteris intermedia*, and *Viburnum alnifolium*, were significant
20 indicator species for old-growth hemlock stands, highlighting the lasting legacy of past land use
21 on the reestablishment and growth of these common species within second-growth areas. The
22 return of old-growth understory conditions to these second-growth areas will largely be

dependent on disturbance and self-thinning mediated changes in overstory structure, resource availability, and microhabitat heterogeneity.

KEYWORDS: *Tsuga canadensis*, understory vegetation, old-growth, Massachusetts, neighborhood effects, species diversity

1. Introduction

The understory layer is a critical component of forest ecosystems typically supporting the vast majority of total ecosystem floristic diversity (Halpern and Spies 1995, Gilliam and Roberts 2003) and providing habitat elements to associated wildlife species (Carey and Johnson 1995). These communities also play a central role in the dynamics and functioning of forest ecosystems by influencing long-term successional patterns (Philips and Murdy 1995, Abrams and Downs 1990, Oliver and Larson 1996, McCarthy et al. 2001, Royo and Carson 2005, Nyland et al. 2006) and contributing to forest nutrient cycles (Chapin 1983, Zak et al. 1990, Anderson and Eickmeier 2000, Chastain et al. 2006). Due to the recognized ecological importance of forest understory plants, considerable effort has been devoted to determining the impacts of land-use practices on the structure and diversity of these communities (Whitney and Foster 1988, Matlack 1994, Motzkin et al. 1996, Bellemare et al. 2002, Eberhardt et al. 2003, Goslee et al. 2005; Fraterringo et al. 2006, see also *Ecological Applications* Special Feature Vol. 5, 1995). This work has demonstrated the importance of past land use in shaping contemporary patterns of understory vegetation and has indicated that distinct community assemblages may characterize various stages of forest succession.

Understory communities in old-growth forest ecosystems are often quite distinct relative to forests originating following agricultural clearing or forest harvesting (Whitney and Foster

1 1988, Matlack 1994, Qian et al 1997, Goebel et al. 1999). Although the diversity of understory
2 plants may be low in some old-growth systems (Metzger and Shultz 1984, Scheller and
3 Mladenoff 2002), other studies have indicated that certain taxa may be more abundant or
4 restricted to these forests (Whitney and Foster 1988, Matlack 1994, Halpern and Spies 1995,
5 Moola and Vasseur 2004). The affinity of certain species to old-growth forests has been
6 attributed to several factors, including reproductive characteristics of the plants (Peterken and
7 Game 1984, Matlack 1994, Meier et al. 1995), and characteristics of the environment in old-
8 growth forests, including favorable substrates (e.g., coarse woody debris, pit and mound
9 topography) and microclimatic conditions (e.g., deeply shaded microsites), that are typically less
10 common or absent from second-growth systems (Harmon and Franklin 1989, Peterson and
11 Campbell 1993, Chen et al. 1995, McGee and Kimmerer 2002). As a result of the reproductive
12 limitations and unique microhabitat requirements of some old-growth plant species, the
13 conservation of old-growth forest ecosystems may be of critical importance for the maintenance
14 of viable populations of certain plant species, particularly in landscapes highly fragmented by a
15 history of intensive land use (Meier et al. 1995). In addition, the maintenance of late-
16 successional understory plant communities is becoming an increasingly common forest
17 management objective creating a greater need for an understanding of the environmental
18 conditions promoting the abundance of these species (Alaback 1984, Bailey et al. 1998, Lindh
19 and Muir 2004).

20 Old-growth forests are extremely scarce on the landscapes of southern New England
21 constituting roughly 0.1% of the forested land base of states such as Massachusetts (D'Amato et
22 al. 2006). Numerous studies in this region have demonstrated differences in understory
23 community composition between secondary and primary forests (i.e., forests originating

1 following agricultural clearing versus logging exclusively, Motzkin et al. 1996, Donahue et al.
2 2000, Bellemare et al. 2002); however, our understanding of the compositional differences that
3 may exist between old-growth and primary forests is fairly limited (but see Whitney and Foster
4 1988, Cooper-Ellis 1998). This study compares the understory vegetation of the few remaining
5 old-growth forests in western Massachusetts with adjacent second-growth forests that originated
6 following logging in the late 19th Century, but were never cleared for agricultural purposes.
7 Many of the old-growth forests in western Massachusetts are surrounded by compositionally
8 similar second-growth stands providing the opportunity to investigate the influence of differing
9 past land-use on the understory communities of forests with similar overstory species
10 composition and edaphic and landscape settings. In addition, the majority of these old-growth
11 forests are dominated by eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*), a species currently threatened by
12 the introduced hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*, HWA, Orwig and Foster 1998).
13 Although none of these forests are currently infested by HWA, this introduced pest is within 3
14 km of several old-growth stands (C. Burnham, unpublished data). As a result, studies
15 characterizing the floristic composition of the understory layer prior to HWA infestation will
16 serve as an important baseline for monitoring the response of these ecosystems to this novel
17 disturbance (Small et al. 2005; Eschtruth et al. 2006, Cleavitt et al. 2008). Similarly, the
18 regeneration dynamics and floristic diversity of hemlock-dominated old-growth stands
19 throughout the range of this species have been dramatically influenced by herbivory stemming
20 from overabundant white-tail deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) populations (Anderson and Loucks
21 1979, Frelich and Lorimer 1985, Alverson et al. 1988, Mladenoff and Stearns 1993, Rooney and
22 Dress 1997, Long et al. 1998, Weckel et al. 2006). The lack of similar high populations within
23 our study region provides an important opportunity to assess the structure and composition of

systems currently unaffected by this conservation and management issue facing hemlock forests in many other regions of North America (Rooney et al. 2000).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study area

Sampling was conducted in 16 old-growth and 8 second-growth hemlock forests in the Berkshire Hills and Taconic Mountains of western Massachusetts (Table 1). This area has a humid, continental climate with average annual levels of precipitation ranging from 116.2 to 129.5 cm and mean monthly temperatures from -7.7° C in January to 22.2° C in July (NCDC 2006). Study areas were located on steep slopes (range: 25-41°) and ranged in elevation from 305-685 m.a.s.l. Soils in these areas are predominantly well-drained sandy loam soils formed from weathered gneiss, schist, and phyllite (Zen et al. 1983, Scanu 1988).

Old-growth and second-growth status was assigned based on extensive analysis of historical and dendroecological evidence collected from these areas (D'Amato et al. 2006). Based on these analyses, old-growth areas were classified as sites lacking any evidence of past land-use and containing at least five old (> 225 years old) canopy trees per hectare (cf. McGee et al. 1999). In contrast, early state documents indicated that several second-growth areas adjacent to old-growth areas were clear-cut harvested in the 1870s-1900s (Avery and Slack 1926; D'Amato and Orwig 2008). In addition, dendroecological analyses of second-growth areas confirmed that all second-growth sites were clear-cut harvested between the 1870s-1900s as dramatic release and recruitment pulses were observed in these stands during these decades (D'Amato 2007). In contrast to second-growth stands found in other mountainous regions of New England, there was little evidence that these areas were burned following clear-cut

harvesting (c.f. Goodale and Aber 2001) Care was taken in the selection of second-growth sites to ensure that the environmental settings (e.g., elevation, topographic position, slope steepness, and aspect) were as similar to those of the old-growth hemlock stands as possible.

2.2. *Vegetation sampling*

Vegetation sampling plots were part of a larger study examining the structure and disturbance dynamics of old-growth and second-growth forests in western Massachusetts (D'Amato and Orwig 2008, D'Amato et al. 2008). Depending on stand size, 3-5 0.04-ha plots were established along transects orientated through the central portion of each study area and permanently marked. Percent cover of all vascular herbaceous plants, shrubs, and tree seedlings were estimated by species in eight 1-m² sub-plots randomly located within each 0.04-ha sampling plot during May and June 2004. Seedling densities were also determined in these sub-plots. A complete census of herbaceous and shrub species was made in each 0.04-ha sampling plot to generate master species lists. This census was repeated in the late summer to ensure species emerging following the initial vegetation sampling were accounted for. No additional species were identified during these late summer censuses. Species and diameter at breast height (DBH) was recorded for every tree (stems ≥ 1.37 m tall and ≥ 10 cm DBH) rooted within the 0.04-ha plots. In addition, all saplings (stems ≥ 1.37 m tall and ≤ 10 cm DBH) were tallied by species. Measurements of forest floor environmental characteristics, including coarse woody debris abundance, soil pH, and nitrogen availability determined from mixed-bed cation and anion resin bags were also made within each 0.04 ha plot. Methods used for sampling CWD and soil characteristics are summarized in D'Amato et al. (2008) and D'Amato (2007). Species nomenclature follows Gleason and Cronquist (1990).

2.3. Statistical analyses

Percent cover of herbs and shrubs and seedling and sapling densities were averaged for each study area and compared between old-growth and second-growth forests using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. In addition, average species richness (number of species in study area, S), diversity (Shannon-Wiener index, H'), and evenness (E , Pielou 1969) of understory herbs and shrubs were compared between old-growth and second-growth forests using the same procedure. Multivariate tests for differences in the composition of understory vegetation (herbs, shrubs, and seedlings) between old-growth and second-growth forests were conducted using multi-response permutation procedures (MRPP) in PC-ORD version 4.28 (McCune and Mefford 1999). MRPP is a non-parametric, randomization-based multivariate test of differences between groups that compares the plots within *a priori* groups to a random allocation of plots (McCune and Grace 2002). Sørensen distances were used to calculate average within-group distances for MRPP. Indicator species analysis (Dufrene and Legendre 1997) was used to describe how well certain understory species differentiated between old-growth and second-growth forests.

Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS; McCune and Grace 2002) was used to examine patterns in understory community composition within and among old-growth and second-growth forests. As was the case for MRPP, NMS used Sørensen distances to calculate a distance matrix for the 24 study areas. To reduce noise in the data set, species with fewer than 3 occurrences were removed from the data matrices (McCune and Grace 2002). The “slow-and-thorough” autopilot mode of NMS in PC-ORD was used to generate solutions. This procedure determines the optimal ordination solution by stepping down in dimensionality from a six-axis to one-axis solution using 40 runs performed on real data followed by 50 Monte Carlo runs using random data (McCune and Mefford 1999). Optimal dimensionality was based on the number of

1 dimensions with the lowest stress (i.e., smallest departure from monotonicity in the relationship
2 between distance in the original space and distance in the reduced ordination space, McCune and
3 Grace 2002). For this study, the minimum stress configuration included 3 axes; however, the
4 two axes accounting for the most variability in the original data set are presented. The
5 relationship between understory community composition and environmental and forest structural
6 characteristics, including inorganic N availability, forest floor C:N ratio, abundance of coarse
7 woody debris, and overstory tree density, were explored using the bi-plot function in PC-ORD
8 (McCune and Mefford 1999). Ordinations were rigidly rotated to place the environmental or
9 forest structural variable with the highest correlation with understory community composition on
10 the first axis. Average percent cover of herbs, shrubs, and seedlings were used for MRPP and
11 NMS. Relationships between species abundance and NMS axis scores were explored using
12 Kendall's tau statistic (SAS version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc. 2004).

13 Matrix correlation was used to evaluate the degree of association between various strata
14 (e.g., herbs and shrubs, seedlings, saplings, overstory trees) within hemlock stands using Mantel
15 tests in PC-ORD (McCune and Mefford 1999). These tests calculate the correlation between two
16 dissimilarity matrices, which were derived using Sørensen distances in this study. We were
17 particularly interested in the influence of the overstory tree community on the composition of the
18 understory, seedling and sapling layers (i.e., neighborhood effects sensu Frelich and Reich
19 1995). Therefore, an overstory tree community dissimilarity matrix was correlated against the
20 understory, seedling, and sapling layer matrices. Similarly, the seedling and sapling dissimilarity
21 matrices were correlated against each other to examine the degree of association between these
22 two strata. Probability levels for the Mantel tests were calculated using 1000 Monte Carlo
23 randomizations (McCune and Mefford 1999) and the overstory matrix was based on importance

values for overstory species in each stand. Significance levels were set at $\alpha = 0.05$ for all analyses and experiment-wide probability levels were protected by a sequential Bonferroni procedure (Rice 1989).

3. Results

3.1. Diversity and cover of understory herbs and shrubs

A total of 47 understory herb and shrub species was identified across the 24 hemlock stands examined in this study (Table 2). Of the species identified, 29 occurred only in old-growth hemlock stands; no species were restricted to second-growth hemlock stands (Table 2). No non-native species were found in either old-growth or second-growth stands (Table 2). Importantly, the greater number of species found within old-growth forests may be an artifact of the sampling design, as there were twice as many old-growth study areas as second-growth. Nonetheless, compared with second-growth stands, old-growth forests had approximately 4 times the amount of total ground cover of herbaceous and shrub species (4.02 ± 0.41 versus $1.06 \pm 0.47 \text{ \%}/\text{m}^2$) and supported greater overall species richness and diversity (Table 3). In contrast, evenness of herb and shrub species was greater in second-growth stands due in large part to the lower species richness in these systems (Table 3).

3.2. Tree seedlings and saplings

Densities of seedlings and saplings were greater in old-growth stands compared to second-growth stands (Table 4). *Acer pensylvanicum*, *Tsuga canadensis*, and *Betula spp.* (*B. lenta* and *B. alleghaniensis* combined) generally had the highest seedling densities in both old-growth and second-growth forests, and *A. pensylvanicum* was the only species that occurred in

all stands (Table 4). Most seedling species were found in both old-growth and second-growth forests; however, *Pinus strobus* and *Acer saccharum* only occurred as seedlings in old-growth stands. Seedling densities varied considerably between old-growth and second-growth forests as *T. canadensis*, *Picea rubens*, and *Betula* spp. had higher densities in old-growth stands while *Acer rubrum* had higher densities in second-growth stands (Table 4). Overall, the composition of the seedling layer was positively associated with the composition of the overstory layer (Mantel test, $r = 0.27$, $P < 0.05$), suggesting the successful establishment of overstory species within the understory layer of these stands.

Tsuga canadensis had the highest sapling density across old-growth and second-growth stands and was the only species found in the sapling layer of all hemlock stands investigated (Table 4). *A. pensylvanicum* and *Fagus grandifolia* were generally more abundant in old-growth versus second-growth stands (Table 4). Several species occurring as seedlings within a given forest type were not observed as saplings (Table 4). For example, *Pinus strobus* and *Betula papyrifera* saplings were not observed in old-growth stands despite the presence of these species in the seedling layer and in the overstory (Table 4). Likewise, saplings of *B. papyrifera* and *Quercus rubra* were not found in second-growth stands despite their presence in the seedling layer of these systems. Nonetheless, composition of the sapling layer among hemlock stands was positively correlated with the composition of the seedling layer (Mantel test, $r = 0.29$, $P < 0.05$). In addition, there was a positive correlation between the composition of the sapling layer and the composition of the overstory layer (Mantel test, $r = 0.30$, respectively, $P < 0.05$). Conversely, sapling densities were negatively correlated with density of overstory trees (Kendall's tau = -0.53, $P < 0.05$).

3.3. Understory layer composition

Understory communities differed between old-growth and second-growth stands (Multi-response permutation procedures {MRPP}; $A=0.03$, $P < 0.05$); however, the small effect size ($A=0.03$) derived from MRPP suggested that there was also a wide range of variation in understory community composition within old-growth and second-growth stands, respectively (McCune and Grace 2002). This was illustrated by the broad distribution of points for each of these stand types in the ordination of understory vegetation (Figure 1), which explained 65.2 % of the variation in the raw data (NMS ordination, final stress = 12.35, final instability = 0.00001). Most of the variation in understory vegetation among stands was explained by Axis 1 (30.4%), which represented a gradient from sites with low overstory tree densities and high $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ availability in the negative portion of Axis 1 to higher density sites with lower levels of available $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ in the positive portion (Figure 1). Correlations of species with this axis indicated that there was greater abundance of the fern species, *Polypodium virginianum* ($\tau = -0.55$) and *Dryopteris intermedia* ($\tau = -0.52$), within old-growth study sites located in the negative portion of Axis 1 (Figure 1, Table 5).

The distribution of study areas along Axis 2, which explained 27.8 % of the variation, generally ranged from sites with a smaller proportion of the total downed coarse woody debris (CWD) pools in decay class IV (highly decayed, cf. Fraver et al. 2002) to sites with higher proportions of this deadwood type (Figure 1). Study sites with downed woody debris pools containing a higher proportion of wood in advanced stages of decay tended to have greater amounts of *Kalmia latifolia* and *Tsuga canadensis* in the understory as there was a significant positive correlation between the abundance of these species and Axis 2 (Table 5). Importantly, average volumes of decay class IV downed CWD were more than 9 times greater in old-growth

stands compared to second-growth stands (21.3 ± 7.7 vs. 2.2 ± 0.9 m³/ha in old-growth and second-growth stands, respectively). Furthermore, total volumes of downed CWD were four times as high in the old-growth stands examined in this study (D'Amato et al. 2008).

Despite the wide range of variation in understory community composition within both old-growth and second-growth stands (based on MRPP), Indicator Species Analysis identified four species indicative of the understory layer in old-growth hemlock forests relative to second-growth stands: *Dryopteris intermedia*, *Viburnum alnifolium*, *Aralia nudicaulis*, and *Tsuga canadensis* (Figure 2). Several other species, including *Lycopodium lucidulum*, *Polypodium virginianum*, and *Polystichum acrostichoides*, were also more common in old-growth stands (Figure 2). In contrast, only *Acer rubrum* seedlings were more common in second-growth stands (Figure 2). There was no significant relationship between the composition of understory herbs and shrubs and the composition of overstory trees among hemlock stands (Mantel test, $r = 0.02$, $P = 0.37$).

4. Discussion

This study indicates that, despite compositional similarities in overstory trees, differences exist between the understory communities characterizing old-growth and second-growth hemlock forests in western Massachusetts. In particular, old-growth forests had higher species richness and diversity, as well as a greater abundance of understory herbs and shrubs, and tree seedlings and saplings compared to second-growth forests. The observed importance of overstory tree density, nitrogen availability, and coarse woody debris characteristics in explaining the variation in understory composition among hemlock stands suggests that

differences in resource availability (e.g., light, moisture, and nutrients) and microhabitat heterogeneity between old-growth and second-growth stands were likely driving these patterns.

4.1. Diversity and Abundance of Understory Herbs and Shrubs

Overstory tree density strongly influences patterns of understory species richness and abundance (Alaback 1982, Peet and Christensen 1988, Oliver and Larson 1996). Several studies have demonstrated that lower levels of species richness and abundance characterize dense, closed canopy forests within the stem exclusion phase of development (sensu Oliver 1981, Alaback 1982, Halpern 1988, Schoonmaker and McKee 1988, Eycott et al. 2006, Jules et al. 2008), whereas richness and abundance often increase as forests mature and self-thinning and gap-scale disturbances create and maintain canopy openings (Davison and Forman 1982, Alaback and Hermain 1988 Eycott et al. 2006). In this study, the second-growth stands we examined were primarily in the stem exclusion phase of development, and the low sapling densities and understory plant abundance and richness observed in these systems were likely a reflection of the lower levels of resource availability (e.g., light, nutrients) in the understory layer during this stage of stand development (Klinka et al. 1996, Oliver and Larson 1996). In contrast, the structure of the old-growth areas had been primarily influenced by more than three centuries of small to moderate gap-scale disturbances (D'Amato and Orwig 2008) resulting in stands characterized by lower tree densities, larger trees, multi-layered canopies, and an abundance of downed coarse woody debris and canopy gaps (D'Amato et al. 2008). Presumably, the diversity of microhabitats and greater levels of resource availability associated with these structural attributes (Alaback 1982, Oian et al. 1997, D'Amato 2007) and disturbance processes (Beatty 1984, Moore and Vankat 1986, Peterson et al. 1990, Reader and Bricker 1992) resulted in a

greater abundance and richness of understory plants within old-growth stands compared to dense second-growth stands.

Overall, the composition and abundance of understory plants among hemlock stands in this study were similar to those reported elsewhere for second-growth (Rogers 1980, Eschtruth et al. 2006) and old-growth hemlock forests (Whitney and Foster 1988). While the old-growth stands in this study had a greater abundance of understory herb and shrubs compared to second-growth, these values were much lower than those reported for other forest types in the region (e.g., Rogers 1980, Gilliam et al. 1995). The sparse nature of understory vegetation within these systems is likely a reflection of the low levels of nutrient and light availability, as well as higher forest floor and soil acidity levels typically characterizing hemlock-dominated forests relative to other forest types such as northern hardwood forests (Rogers 1980, Mladenoff 1987, Canham et al. 1994, Lovett et al. 2004). Although these understory conditions limit the abundance of understory herbs and shrubs, they tend to favor the establishment of conspecific regeneration within hemlock-dominated stands (i.e., positive overstory-understory neighborhood effects, Frelich and Reich 1995). These positive neighborhood effects between overstory trees and understory regeneration were illustrated by the strong, positive associations in Mantel tests conducted between overstory composition and the composition of the seedling and sapling layers within the hemlock stands examined in this study. Correspondingly, management approaches aimed at restoring old-growth characteristics to existing second-growth hemlock systems should rely on selection systems that not only emulate the gap-scale disturbance regimes historically characterizing these systems (c.f. D'Amato and Orwig 2008) but also maintain the understory conditions favoring long-term hemlock establishment and recruitment (Brissette and Kenefic 2000).

Several species were more abundant in old-growth than second-growth hemlock understories, including *Aralia nudicaulis*, *Dryopteris intermedia*, and *Viburnum alnifolium*. Several other studies examining the composition of old-growth, primary, and secondary forests in the northeastern United States have also indicated that these species were more abundant in old-growth and primary forest ecosystems (Nichols 1913, Egler 1940, Whitney and Foster 1988, Motzkin et al. 1999, Singleton et al. 2001). For example, the occurrence of *A. nudicaulis* and *V. alnifolium* was much greater in old-growth white pine-hemlock forests as compared to secondary white pine-hemlock forests in central New England (Whitney and Foster 1988). These patterns were attributed to the sensitivity of these species to competitive displacement and microclimatic changes following forest harvesting, as well as to the dispersal and colonization limitations of *V. alnifolium* (Whitney and Foster 1988, Motzkin et al. 1999). In contrast, the lack of suitable microhabitats in secondary forests was suggested as a possible explanation for the greater frequency of *Dryopteris intermedia* in primary forests compared to post-agricultural forests in central New York (Singleton et al. 2001). Although we did not directly examine dispersal rates or microhabitat associations in this study, it is likely that these mechanisms (e.g., dispersal limitations, lack of suitable microhabitats, Meier et al. 1995) were influencing the differences in abundance of these species between old-growth and second-growth hemlock forests. In particular, *A. nudicaulis* and *V. alnifolium* spread primarily by vegetative means (Edwards 1984, Nyland et al. 2006) and this reliance on clonal spread may have limited their ability to recolonize second-growth areas following harvesting (cf. Meier et al. 1995). Likewise, the lower abundance of suitable microhabitats observed among second-growth hemlock stands (e.g., decayed logs, McGee 2001, D'Amato et al. 2008) may have contributed to the lower abundance of *D. intermedia* in these systems. An additional possible explanation for the absence or lower

1 abundance of these species in second-growth areas is that these species were never present in
2 these stands prior to harvesting. Despite this consideration, the historical ubiquity of these plant
3 species throughout these landscapes makes this explanation highly unlikely (Egler 1940). Due to
4 affinity of these species to old-growth and primary forests found in this and other studies in the
5 region, the abundance of these species might serve as useful indicators for evaluating the success
6 of management strategies aimed at restoring old-growth characteristics to second-growth
7 hemlock systems.

9 4.2. Composition and Abundance of Tree seedlings and Saplings

10 The high densities of *Tsuga canadensis* and *Acer pensylvanicum* seedlings observed in
11 old-growth and second-growth stands in this study were consistent with the findings of other
12 studies quantifying seedling densities in hemlock-dominated forests (e.g., Rogers 1980, Yorks et
13 al. 2000, Kizlinski et al. 2002) and was likely due to the ability of both these species to survive in
14 the low light levels and acidic soils characterizing these ecosystems (Hibbs 1979, Burns and
15 Honkala 1990, Lei and Lechowicz 1990, Kobe et al. 1995). In contrast, the prolific seed
16 production and seed banking abilities of the mid-tolerant species, *Betula lenta* and *B.*
17 *alleghaniensis*, likely contributed to the abundance of these seedlings among hemlock study
18 areas as these species often dominate the seed banks of hemlock-dominated forests (Castovsky
19 and Bazzaz 2000, Yorks et al. 2000, Sullivan and Ellison 2006). While these species may
20 germinate under low light conditions, their survival in these stands is dependent on canopy gaps
21 that increase light availability in the forest understory (Carlton and Bazzaz 1998, Orwig and
22 Foster 1998, Webster and Lorimer 2005). This was demonstrated by the affinity of *B.*
23 *alleghaniensis* and *B. lenta* saplings to recent canopy gaps within the hemlock stands we

1 sampled (A. W. D'Amato, personal observation), as well as by the tremendous increase of these
2 species observed in the sapling layer of hemlock forests defoliated by hemlock woolly adelgid
3 (Orwig and Foster 1998, Kizlinski et al. 2002, Stadler et al. 2005). Based on these findings from
4 infested stands in the region and the characteristics of the seedling layers in the present study, it
5 is highly likely that a similar increase in *B. alleghaniensis* and *B. lenta* will occur on these sites
6 when hemlock woolly adelgid reaches these areas.

7 Although there were compositional similarities in the seedling and sapling layers between
8 old-growth and second-growth hemlock stands (Table 4), densities of seedlings and saplings
9 were much greater in old-growth stands. The factors described as influencing the patterns for
10 understory herb and shrub abundance between old-growth and second-growth stands (e.g.,
11 greater diversity of microhabitats and resource availability in old-growth stands) also likely
12 contributed to the patterns in seedling and sapling densities. In particular, several studies have
13 demonstrated the importance of decaying logs on the forest floor as microhabitats for certain tree
14 species (Christy and Mack 1984, Harmon and Franklin 1989, Beach and Halpern 2001),
15 including *T. canadensis* and *B. alleghaniensis* (Mladenoff and Stearns 1993, Corinth 1995,
16 McGee 2001, Marx and Walters 2008). In this study, the abundance of highly decayed downed
17 wood (decay class III and IV combined, after Fraver et al. 2002) was almost five times greater in
18 old-growth forests compared to second-growth forests (56.2 vs. 11.6 m³/ha). While we did not
19 specifically sample downed logs for seedling and sapling abundance, seedlings of *T. canadensis*
20 and *Betula* spp. were commonly observed on these substrates within our study areas (A.W.
21 D'Amato, personal observation, Figure 3). In addition, the association of *T. canadensis* with this
22 microhabitat was demonstrated by the positive correlation between proportion of highly decayed
23 downed coarse woody debris and *T. canadensis* abundance in the ordination of understory

community composition (Figure 1). Due to the importance of deadwood substrates in these systems, the deliberate felling and retention of canopy trees in harvest gaps should be integrated into management strategies aimed at restoring old-growth conditions to second-growth hemlock stands. Importantly, including these provisions for CWD creation at each harvest entry will be critical for ensuring that a diversity of decay classes are present in these stands over time (cf. D'Amato and Catanzaro 2007).

Beyond differences in microhabitat and resource availability, it is likely that the greater sapling densities in old-growth stands were also related to the differences in the nature of disturbance in these systems as compared to second-growth stands. Both of these systems have experienced small to moderate canopy disturbances over the past century (D'Amato and Orwig 2008); however, the size of canopy gaps created in old-growth stands is generally greater due to the larger overstory trees in these stands (cf. Dahir and Lorimer 1996). As a result, these stands contain understory light conditions more favorable for the recruitment of mid-tolerant and tolerant species into the sapling layer. Moreover, the extreme shade tolerance of *T. canadensis* allows it to persist under low light levels in the forest understory for extended periods of time, often exceeding a century (Godman and Lancaster 1990, A.W. D'Amato, personal observation). Therefore, some of the hemlock saplings within old-growth stands likely recruited prior to the establishment of the second-growth areas examined, thus contributing to the greater abundance of this species within old-growth areas. These patterns reinforce the suitability of selection systems for increasing hemlock regeneration in second-growth systems and restoring canopy complexity through the creation of well-developed sapling layers.

Interestingly, several compositional and structural components extirpated from the understory layer of hemlock stands in other regions of the northeastern United States due to

overbrowsing by white-tail deer, including *Taxus canadensis* and abundant *T. canadensis* saplings (Frelich and Lorimer 1985, Alverson et al. 1988, Rooney and Dress 1997, Long et al. 1998, Weckel et al. 2006), were commonly documented in the old-growth and second-growth stands observed in this study. Due to the comparatively low deer densities in our study region (~10 per square mile, MassWildlife 2008), these results serve as an illustration of the dramatic effect white-tail deer is having on the structure and dynamics of eastern hemlock forests in other portions of this species' range and represent a baseline for assessing how future increases in deer densities within western Massachusetts affect the conservation and management of these systems. Moreover, recent results highlighting the positive interactive effects of canopy disturbance due to hemlock woolly adelgid infestation and severity of deer browsing underscore the importance of simultaneously monitoring the impacts of these and other disturbance agents on the future plant community dynamics of these currently unaffected systems (Eschtruth and Battles 2008).

5. Conclusions

The understory communities in the old-growth hemlock stands examined in this study are distinctive from those in hemlock dominated stands originating following logging in the late 19th century. Understory vegetation characteristics within these old-growth stands, including species richness, herb and shrub cover, and seedling and sapling densities, are much greater than those observed within the understories of second-growth areas due in large part to the greater resource availability and microhabitat heterogeneity characterizing these systems. Notably, differences in composition, richness, and diversity between old-growth and second-growth hemlock stands resulted from either the absence or lower abundance of several common forest herbs and shrubs

1 within second-growth stands and were not due to the presence of rare species in old-growth.
2 Despite the lack of old-growth obligate understory species in these stands, the understory
3 communities of these old-growth systems represent important habitat features on the landscape
4 providing greater levels of forage and cover for a variety of wildlife relative to second-growth
5 hemlock stands. The return of old-growth understory conditions to these second-growth areas
6 will be largely dependent on disturbance and self-thinning mediated changes in overstory
7 structure, resource availability, and microhabitat heterogeneity within these systems. As such,
8 management strategies, including the use of gap-based silvicultural systems and the creation and
9 retention of CWD, may serve as effective approaches for actively restoring these understory
10 conditions to second-growth stands. Although hemlock woolly adelgid is not present at any
11 hemlock stands examined in this study, our results suggest that the loss of hemlock from these
12 areas will lead to the tremendous increases in *B. lenta* and *B. alleghaniensis* observed in infested
13 hemlock forests in this region (Orwig and Foster 1998). More importantly, infestation of these
14 areas will irreversibly alter the composition and structure of the few remaining old-growth
15 forests in southern New England.

17 **Acknowledgements**

18 We thank Jessica Butler, Glenn Motzkin, Christian Foster, and Ben Ewing for assistance with
19 fieldwork. This work was supported by NSF grant DEB-0236897 and USDA Focus Funding
20 Grant 01-DG-11244225-037. In addition, A.W. D'Amato received funding from the A.W.
21 Mellon Foundation and Pisgah Fund at the Harvard Forest. Comments from Brenda McComb
22 greatly improved this manuscript. This publication is a product of the Harvard Forest LTER
23 project.

References

- Abrams, M.D., and J.A. Downs. 1990. Successional replacement of old-growth white oak by mixed mesophytic hardwoods in southwestern Pennsylvania. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 20: 1864-1870.
- Alaback, P.B. 1982. Dynamics of understory biomass in Sitka spruce-western hemlock forests of southeast Alaska. *Ecology* 63: 1932-1948.
- Alaback, P.B. 1984. Plant succession following logging in the Sitka spruce-western hemlock forests of southeast Alaska: implications of management. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PNW-173.
- Anderson, R.C., and O.L. Loucks. 1979. White-tail deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) influence on structure and composition of *Tsuga canadensis* forests. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 16: 855-861.
- Anderson, W.B., and W.G. Eickmeier. 2000. Nutrient resorption in *Claytonia virginica* L.: implications for deciduous forest nutrient cycling. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 78: 832-839.
- Avery, J., and T. Slack. 1926. Map of Mohawk Trail State Forest. Massachusetts Dept. of Conservation and Recreation, Amherst, MA.
- Bailey, J.D., C. Mayrsohn, P.S. Doescher, E. St. Pierre, and J.C. Tappeiner. 1998. Understory vegetation in old and young Douglas-fir forests of western Oregon. *Forest Ecology and Management* 289-302.
- Beach, E.W., and C.B. Halpern. 2001. Controls on conifer regeneration in managed riparian forests: effects of seed source, substrate, and vegetation. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 31: 471-482.

- 1 Bellemare, J., G. Motzkin, and D.R. Foster. 2002. Legacies of the agricultural past in the
2 forested present: an assessment of the historical land-use effects on rich mesic forests.
3 *Journal of Biogeography* 29: 1401-1420.
- 4 Brissette, J.C., and L.S. Kenefic. Eastern hemlock response to even- and uneven-age
5 management in the Acadian forest: results from the Penobscot Experimental Forest long-
6 term silviculture study. *In* McManus, K.A., K.S. Shields, and D.R. Souto, eds.,
7 *Proceedings: Symposium on sustainable management of hemlock ecosystems in eastern*
8 *North America*. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report NE-267, Newtown
9 Square, PA. pp 23-28.
- 10 Brooks, R.T. 2004. Early regeneration following the presalvage cutting of hemlock from
11 hemlock-dominated stands. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 21: 12-18.
- 12 Burns, R.M., and B.H. Honkala. 1990. *Silvics of North America*. USDA Forest Service
13 Handbook 654.
- 14 Canham, C.D., A.C. Finzi, S.W. Pacala, and D.H. Burbank. 1994. Causes and consequences of
15 resource heterogeneity in forests: interspecific variation in light transmission by canopy
16 trees. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 24: 337-349.
- 17 Carlton, G.C., and F.A. Bazzaz. 1998. Regeneration of three sympatric birch species on
18 experimental hurricane blowdown microsites. *Ecological Monographs* 68: 99-120.
- 19 Carey, A.B., and M.L. Johnson. 1995. Small mammals in managed, naturally young, and old-
20 growth forests. *Ecological Applications* 5: 336-352.
- 21 Catovsky, S., and F.A. Bazzaz. 2000. The role of resource interactions and seedling
22 regeneration in maintaining a positive feedback in hemlock stands. *Journal of Ecology*
23 88: 100-112.

- 1 Chapin, F.S. 1983. Nitrogen and phosphorous nutrition and nutrient cycling by evergreen and
2 deciduous understory shrubs in an Alaskan black spruce forest. Canadian Journal of
3 Forest Research 13: 773-781.
- 4 Chastain, R.A., W.S. Currie, and P.A. Townsend. 2006. Carbon sequestration and nutrient
5 cycling implications of the evergreen understory layer in Appalachian forests. Forest
6 Ecology and Management 231: 63-77.
- 7 Chen, J., J.F. Franklin, and T.A. Spies. 1995. Growing-season microclimatic gradients from
8 clearcut edges into old-growth Douglas-fir forests. Ecological Applications 5: 74-86.
- 9 Christy, E.J., and R.N. Mack. 1984. Variation in demography of juvenile *Tsuga heterophylla*
10 across the substratum mosaic. Journal of Ecology 72: 75-91.
- 11 Cooper-Ellis, S. 1998. Bryophytes in old-growth forests of western Massachusetts. Journal of
12 the Torrey Botanical Society 125: 117-132.
- 13 Corinth, R. L. 1995. Coarse woody debris and regeneration of eastern hemlock. In G. Mroz and
14 J. Martin, eds. Hemlock Ecology and Management. Department of Forestry, University
15 of Wisconsin-Madison. pp. 73-90.
- 16 Cleavitt, N.L., A.K. Eschtruth, J.J. Battles, and T.J. Fahey. 2008. Bryophyte response to eastern
17 hemlock decline caused by hemlock woolly adelgid infestation. The Journal of the Torrey
18 Botanical Society 135: 12-25.
- 19 Curtis, J.T. 1959. The Vegetation of Wisconsin. An Ordination of plant communities, University
20 of Wisconsin Press, Madison Wisconsin. 657pp.
- 21 Dahir, S.E, and C.G. Lorimer. 1996. Variation in canopy gap formation among developmental
22 stages of northern hardwood stands. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 26: 1875-1892.

- 1 D'Amato, A.W., D.A. Orwig, and D.R. Foster. 2006. New estimates of Massachusetts old-
2 growth forests: Useful data for regional conservation and forest reserve planning.
3 Northeastern Naturalist 13: 495-506.
- 4 D'Amato, A.W. 2007. Structural attributes, disturbance dynamics, and ecosystem properties of
5 old-growth forests in western Massachusetts. Dissertation, University of Massachusetts-
6 Amherst.
- 7 D'Amato, A.W., and P.C. Catanazaro. 2007. Restoring old-growth characteristics. University
8 of Massachusetts, Cooperative Extension Landowner Outreach Pamphlet, 18 pp.
- 9 D'Amato, A.W., and D.A. Orwig. 2008. Stand and landscape-level disturbance dynamics in
10 old-growth forest ecosystems in western Massachusetts. Ecological Monographs 78:
11 507-522.
- 12 D'Amato, A.W., D.A. Orwig, and D.R. Foster. 2008. The influence of successional processes
13 and disturbance on the structure of *Tsuga canadensis* forests. Ecological Applications
14 18: 1182-1199.
- 15 Davison, S.E., and R.T.T. Forman. 1982. Herb and shrub dynamics in a mature oak forest: a
16 thirty-year study. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 109: 64-73.
- 17 Dufendre, M., and P. Legendre. 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species: a need for a
18 flexible asymmetrical approach. Ecological Monographs 67: 345-366.
- 19 Eberhardt, R., D.R. Foster, G. Motzkin, and B. Hall. 2003. Conservation of changing
20 landscapes: vegetation, land-use history, and fire on Cape Cod National Seashore.
21 Ecological Applications 13: 68-84.
- 22 Edwards, J. 1984. Spatial pattern and clone structure of the perennial herb, *Aralia nudicaulis* L.
23 (Araliaceae). Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 111: 28-33.

1 Egler, F. E. 1940. Berkshire Plateau vegetation, Massachusetts. *Ecological Monographs* 10:
2 145-192.

3 Eschtruth, A.K., N.L. Cleavitt, J.J. Battles, R.A. Evans, and T.J. Fahey. 2006. Vegetation
4 dynamics in declining eastern hemlock stands: 9 years of forest response to hemlock
5 woolly adelgid infestation. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1435-1450.

6 Eschtruth, A.K., and J.J. Battles. 2008. Deer herbivory alters forest response to canopy decline
7 caused by an exotic insect pest. *Ecological Applications* 18: 360-376.

8 Eycott, A.E., A.R. Watkinson, and P.M. Dolman. 2006. Ecological patterns of plant diversity in
9 a plantation managed by clearfelling. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 43: 1160-1171.

10 Fraver, S., R.G. Wagner, and M. Day. 2002. Dynamics of coarse woody debris following gap
11 harvesting in an Acadian forest of central Maine, USA. *Canadian Journal of Forest*
12 *Research* 32: 2094-2105.

13 Fraterrigo, J.M., M.G. Turner, and S.M. Pearson. 2006. Interactions between past land use, life-
14 history traits and understory spatial heterogeneity. *Landscape Ecology* 21: 777-790.

15 Frelich, L.E., and C.G. Lorimer. 1985. Current and predicted long-term effects of deer
16 browsing on hemlock forests in Michigan, USA. *Biological Conservation* 34: 99-120.

17 Frelich, L.E., and P.B. Reich. 1995. Neighborhood effects, disturbance and succession in
18 forests of the western Great Lakes Region. *Ecoscience* 2: 148-158.

19 Gleason, H.A., and A. Cronquist. 1991. *Manual of vascular plants of northeastern United States*
20 *and adjacent Canada*. New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, NY.

21 Godman, R.M., and K. Lancaster. 1990. *Tsuga canadensis*. In R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala,
22 eds. *Silvics of North America: Volume 1*. USDA Forest Service Handbook 654.

- 1 Goebel, P.C., D.M. Hix, and A.M. Olivero. 1999. Seasonal ground-flora patterns and site factor
2 relationships of second-growth and old-growth south-facing forest ecosystems,
3 southeastern Ohio, USA. *Natural Areas Journal* 19: 12-29.
- 4 Goodale, C.L. and J.D. Aber, 2001. The long-term effects of land-use history on nitrogen
5 cycling in northern hardwood forests. *Ecological Applications* 11, 253-267.
- 6 Goslee, S.C., W.A. Niering, D.L. Urban, and N.L. Christensen. 2005. Influence of environment,
7 history and vegetative interactions on stand dynamics in a Connecticut forest. *Journal of*
8 *the Torrey Botanical Society* 132: 471-482.
- 9 Halpern, C.B. and T.A. Spies. 1995. Plant species diversity in natural and managed forests of
10 the Pacific Northwest. *Ecological Applications* 5: 913-934.
- 11 Harmon, M.E., and J.F. Franklin. 1989. Tree seedlings on logs in *Picea-Tsuga* forests of
12 Oregon and Washington. *Ecology* 70: 48-59.
- 13 Jules, M.J., J.O. Sawyer, and E.S. Jules. 2008. Assessing the relationships between stand
14 development and understory vegetation using a 420-year chronosequence. *Forest*
15 *Ecology and Management* 255: 2384-2393.
- 16 Kizlinski, M.L., D.A. Orwig, R.C. Cobb, and D.R. Foster. 2002. Direct and indirect ecosystem
17 consequences of an invasive pest on forests dominated by eastern hemlock. *Journal of*
18 *Biogeography* 29: 1489-1503.
- 19 Klinka, K., H.Y.H Chen, Q. Wang, and L. de Montigny. 1996. Forest canopies and their
20 influence on understory vegetation in early seral stands on West Vancouver Island.
21 *Northwest Science* 70: 193-200.
- 22 Kobe, R.K., S.W. Pacala, J.A. Silander, C.D. Canham. 1995. Juvenile tree survivorship as a
23 component of shade tolerance. *Ecological Applications* 5: 517-532.

- 1 Lei, T.T., and M.J. Lechowicz. 1990. Shade adaptation and shade tolerance in saplings of three
2 *Acer* species from eastern North America. *Oecologia* 84: 224-228.
- 3 Lindh, B.C., and P.S. Muir. 2004. Understory vegetation in young Douglas-fir forests: does
4 thinning help restore old-growth composition? *Forest Ecology and Management* 192:
5 285-296.
- 6 Long, Z.T., W.P. Carson, and C.J. Peterson. 1998. Can disturbance create refugia from
7 herbivores: an example with hemlock regeneration on treefall mounds. *Journal of the*
8 *Torrey Botanical Society* 125: 165-168.
- 9 Lovett, G.M., K.C. Weathers, M.A. Arthur, and J.C. Schultz. 2004. Nitrogen cycling in a
10 northern hardwood forest: do species matter? *Biogeochemistry* 67: 289-308.
- 11 Marx, L., and M.B. Walters. 2008. Survival of tree seedlings on different species of decaying
12 wood maintains tree distribution in Michigan hemlock-hardwood forests. *Journal of*
13 *Ecology* 96: 505-513.
- 14 Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game (MassWildlife). 2008. Deer hunting information.
15 http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/recreation/hunting/deer/deer_hunting_home.htm Last
16 accessed online 7/20/08.
- 17 Matlack, G.R. 1994. Plant species migration in a mixed-history forest landscape in eastern
18 North America. *Ecology* 75: 1491-1502.
- 19 McCarthy, B.C., C.J. Small, and D.L. Rubino. 2001. Composition, structure and dynamics of
20 Dysarts Woods, an old-growth mixed mesophytic forest of southeastern Ohio. *Forest*
21 *Ecology and Management* 140: 193-213.
- 22 McCune, B., and J.B. Grace. 2002. Analysis of ecological communities. MjM Software Design.
23 Gleneden Beach, OR. 300 pp.

1 McCune B., and M. J. Mefford. 1999. PC-ORD, multivariate analysis of ecological data,
2 version 4.0. MjM Software Design, Gleneden Beach, Oregon, USA.

3 McGee, G.G., D.J. Leopold, and R.D. Nyland. 1999. Structural characteristics of old-growth,
4 maturing, and partially cut northern hardwood forests. *Ecological Applications* 9: 1316-
5 1329.

6 McGee, G.G. 2001. Stand-level effects on the role of decaying logs as vascular plant habitat in
7 Adirondack northern hardwood forests. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 128:
8 370-380.

9 McGee, G.G., and R.W. Kimmerer 2002. Forest age and management effects on epiphytic
10 bryophyte communities in Adirondack northern hardwood forests, New York, USA.
11 *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 32: 1562-1576.

12 Meier, A.J., S.P. Bratton, D.C. Duffy. 1995. Possible ecological mechanisms for loss of vernal-
13 herb diversity in logged eastern deciduous forests. *Ecological Applications* 5: 935-946.

14 Metzger, F., and J. Schultz. 1984. Understory response to 50 years of management of a northern
15 hardwood forest in Upper Michigan. *American Midland Naturalist* 112: 209-223.

16 Miller, T.F., D.J. Mladenoff, and M.K. Clayton. 2002. Old-growth northern hardwood forests:
17 spatial autocorrelation and patterns of understory vegetation. *Ecological Monographs* 72:
18 487-503.

19 Mladenoff, D.J. 1987. Dynamics of nitrogen mineralization and nitrification in hemlock and
20 hardwood treefall gaps. *Ecology* 68: 1171-1180.

21 Mladenoff, D.J., and F. Stearns. 1993. Eastern hemlock regeneration and deer browsing in the
22 northern Great Lakes region: a re-examination and model simulation. *Conservation*
23 *Biology* 7: 889-900.

- 1 Motzkin, G., D.R. Foster, A. Allen, J. Harrod, and R.D. Boone. 1996. Controlling site to
2 evaluate history: vegetation patterns of a New England sand plain. *Ecological*
3 *Monographs* 66: 345-365.
- 4 Motzkin, G., P. Wilson, D. R. Foster, and A. Allen. 1999. Vegetation patterns in heterogeneous
5 landscapes: the importance of history and environment. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 10:
6 903-920.
- 7 National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). 2006. www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/ncdc.html
- 8 Nichols, G.E. 1913. The vegetation of Connecticut. II. Virgin forests. *Torreyia* 13: 199-215.
- 9 Nyland, R.D., A.L. Bashant, K.K. Bohn, and J.M Verostek. 2006. Interference to hardwood
10 regeneration in northeastern North America: controlling effects of American beech,
11 striped maple, and hobblebush. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 23: 122-132.
- 12 Qian, H., K. Klinka, and B. Sivak. 1997. Diversity of the understory vascular vegetation in 40
13 year-old and old-growth stands on Vancouver Island, British Columbia. *Journal of*
14 *Vegetation Science* 8: 773-780.
- 15 Oliver, C.D. 1981. Forest development in North America following major disturbances. *Forest*
16 *Ecology and Management* 3: 153-168.
- 17 Oliver, C. D., and B.C. Larson. 1996. Forest stand dynamics. John Wiley and Sons Inc. New
18 York, NY. 520pp.
- 19 Orwig, D.A., and D.R. Foster. 1998. Forest response to the introduced hemlock woolly adelgid
20 in southern New England, USA. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 125: 60-73.
- 21 Peet, R.K., and N.L. Christensen. 1988. Changes in species diversity during secondary
22 succession on the North Carolina Piedmont. *In* H.J. During, M.J.A. Derger, and J.H.

- 1 Willems, eds., Diversity and pattern in plant communities. SPB Academic Publishing,
2 the Hague. Pp. 233-245.
- 3 Peterken G.F. 1996. Natural woodland: ecology and conservation in northern temperate regions.
4 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 522 pp.
- 5 Peterson, C.J., and J.E. Campbell. 1993. Microsite differences and temporal change in plant
6 communities of treefall pits and mounds in an old-growth forests. *Bulletin of the Torrey*
7 *Botanical Club* 120: 451-460.
- 8 Pielou, E.C. 1969. An introduction to mathematical ecology. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- 9 Reader, R.J., and B.D. Bricker. 1992. Response of five deciduous forest herbs to partial canopy
10 removal and patch size. *American Midland Naturalist* 127: 149-157.
- 11 Rice, W. R. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43: 223-225.
- 12 Rogers, R.S. 1980. Hemlock stands from Wisconsin to Nova Scotia: transitions in understory
13 composition along a floristic gradient. *Ecology* 61: 178-193.
- 14 Rooney, T.P., and W.J. Dress. 1997. Species loss over sixty-six years in the ground-layer
15 vegetation of Heart's Content, an old-growth forests in Pennsylvania, USA. *Natural*
16 *Areas Journal* 17: 297-305.
- 17 Rooney, T.P., R.J. McCormick, S.L. Solheim, and D.M. Waller. 2000. Regional variation in
18 recruitment of hemlock seedlings and saplings in the upper Great Lakes, USA.
19 *Ecological Applications* 10: 1119-1132.
- 20 Royo, A.A., and W.P. Carson. 2005. On the formation of dense understory layers in forests
21 worldwide: consequences and implications for forest dynamics, biodiversity, and
22 succession. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 1345-1362.

- 1 Scanu, R.J. 1988. Soil survey of Berkshire County, Massachusetts. United States Department of
2 Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 217 pp.
- 3 Scheller, R.M., and D. J. Mladenoff. 2002. Understory species patterns and diversity in old-
4 growth and managed northern hardwood forests. *Ecological Applications* 12: 1329-1343.
- 5 Schoonmaker, P., and A. McKee. 1988. Species composition and diversity during secondary
6 succession of coniferous forests in western Cascade Mountains of Oregon. *Forest*
7 *Science* 34: 960-979.
- 8 Singleton, R., S. Gardescu, P.L. Marks, and M.A Gerber. 2001. Forest herb colonization of
9 postagricultural forests in central New York State, USA. *Journal of Ecology* 89: 325-
10 338.
- 11 Small M.J., C.J. Small, G.D. Dreyer. 2005. Changes in a hemlock-dominated forest following
12 woolly adelgid infestation in southern New England. *The Journal of the Torrey Botanical*
13 *Society* 132: 458–470
- 14 Stadler, B., T. Müller, D. Orwig, and R. Cobb. 2005. Hemlock woolly adelgid in New England
15 forests: canopy impacts transforming ecosystem processes and landscapes. *Ecosystems*
16 8: 233-247.
- 17 Sullivan, K.A., and A.M. Ellison. 2006. The seed bank of hemlock forests: implication for
18 forest regeneration following hemlock decline. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Club*
19 133: 393-402.
- 20 Tyrrell, L.E., and T.R. Crow. 1994. Structural characteristics of old-growth hemlock-hardwood
21 forests in relation to age. *Ecology* 75: 370-386.
- 22 Webster, C.R., and C.G. Lorimer. 2005. Minimum opening sizes for canopy recruitment of
23 midtolerant species: a retrospective approach. *Ecological Applications* 15: 1245-1262.

Weckel, M., J.M. Tirpak, C. Nagy, and R. Christie. 2006. Structural and compositional change in an old-growth eastern hemlock *Tsuga canadensis* forest, 1965-2004. *Forest Ecology and Management* 231: 114-118.

Whitney, G.G., and D.R. Foster. 1988. Overstorey composition and age as determinants of the

| Average | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | Percent | canopy tree | Elevation | Slope | Aspect |
| Study site | Status ¹ | hemlock ² | age ³ (yr) | (m) | (°) | (°) |

understorey flora of woods of central New England. *Journal of Ecology* 76: 867-876.

Yorks, T.E., D.J. Leopold, and D.J. Raynal. 2000. Vascular plant propagule banks of six eastern hemlock stands in the Catskill Mountains of New York. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 127: 87-93.

Zak, D.R., P.M Groffman, K.S. Pregitzer, S. Christensen, and J.M. Tiedje. 1990. The vernal dam: plant-microbe competition for nitrogen in northern hardwood forests. *Ecology* 71: 651-656.

Zen, E-an, G.R. Goldsmith, N.L. Ratcliffe, P. Robinson, and R.S. Stanley. 1983. Bedrock geologic map of Massachusetts. United States Geological Survey, Monograph Series.

Ziegler, S.S. 2000. A comparison of structural characteristics between old-growth and post-fire second-growth hemlock-hardwood forests in Adirondack Park, New York, U.S.A. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 9: 373-389.

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Bash Bish Falls | OG | 76.9 | 226 (277) | 370-450 | 26°-46° | 353°-4° |
| Black Brook | OG | 79.4 | 210 (328) | 470-520 | 23°-38° | 350°-10° |
| | | | Average | | | |
| Cold River A1 | OG | 52.7 | 229 (374) | 390-480 | 36°-40° | 336°-340° |
| | | Percent | canopy tree | Elevation | Slope | Aspect |
| Cold River A2 | OG | 61.6 | 246 (488) | 400-490 | 33°-41° | 296°-320° |
| Cold River B | OG | 76.5 | 188 (333) | 330-490 | 40°-42° | 332°-340° |
| Cold River D | OG | 71.2 | 216 (441) | 350-390 | 20°-31° | 272°-321° |
| Deer Hill | OG | 81.4 | 182 (282) | 550-580 | 33°-38° | 270°-336° |
| Grinder Brook | OG | 88.1 | 236 (333) | 360-450 | 38°-43° | 27°-50° |
| Hopper A | OG | 63.8 | 196 (414) | 580-700 | 26°-40° | 225°-270° |
| Hopper B | OG | 42.4 | 198 (329) | 600-680 | 31°-35° | 280°-321° |
| Manning Brook | OG | 75.1 | 219 (352) | 360-420 | 29°-35° | 45°-77° |
| Mt. Everett | OG | 67.0 | 237 (325) | 470-530 | 31°-45° | 35°-50° |
| Money Brook | OG | 77.9 | 206 (302) | 600-660 | 24°-32° | 33°-308° |
| Tower Brook | OG | 50.0 | 177 (244) | 450-470 | 33°-42° | 70°-88° |
| Todd Mt. | OG | 76.8 | 209 (377) | 450-470 | 28°-35° | 315°-358° |
| Wheeler Brook | OG | 73.1 | 206 (300) | 330-370 | 19°-28° | 107°-143° |

Table 1. Physiographic and general stand characteristics of eastern hemlock study sites in western Massachusetts, USA. Canopy tree age and compositional data were collected as part of a larger study examining the disturbance dynamics of these systems (see D’Amato and Orwig 2008 for detailed methods).

Table 1. (continued)

| Study site | Status ¹ | hemlock ² | age ³ (yr) | (m) | (°) | (°) |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Bash Bish Falls | 2G | 70.0 | 115 (171) | 380-430 | 26°-34° | 325°-350° |
| Cold River A | 2G | 60.0 | 132 (182) | 430-510 | 29°-36° | 290°-303° |
| Cold River B | 2G | 69.8 | 108 (270) | 340-380 | 31°-35° | 255°-290° |
| Deer Hill | 2G | 52.5 | 113 (216) | 500-540 | 35°-38° | 350°-0° |
| Dunbar Brook | 2G | 72.6 | 136 (204) | 380-410 | 27°-36° | 45°-68° |
| Grinder Brook | 2G | 57.3 | 128 (151) | 400-460 | 25°-46° | 40°-70° |
| Money Brook | 2G | 70.5 | 133 (201) | 590-620 | 26°-34° | 260°-290° |
| Trout Brook | 2G | 63.0 | 136 (323) | 320-370 | 29°-32° | 330°-340° |

¹OG = old growth, 2G = second-growth

²Importance value calculated as: ((Relative basal area) + (Relative Density))/2

³Value in parentheses represents age of oldest tree with complete increment core sample

Table 2. Herb, graminoid, shrub, fern, and club moss species identified in the understory of old-growth (OG) and second-growth (2G) hemlock forests in western Massachusetts. Species

- 1 occurrences are based on complete censuses of 0.04-ha plots within each study area (3-5 plots
2 per site). Nomenclature follows Gleason and Cronquist (1991).

| Species | OG | 2G | Species | OG | 2G |
|------------------------------|----|----|--------------------------------|----|----|
| Herbs | | | Herbs (cont.) | | |
| <i>Actaea alba</i> | X | | <i>Monotropa uniflora</i> | X | X |
| <i>Aralia nudicaulis.</i> | X | X | <i>Oxalis acetosella</i> | X | |
| <i>Arisaema triphyllum.</i> | X | | <i>Polygonatum pubescens</i> | X | |
| <i>Aster acuminatus.</i> | X | X | <i>Solidago flexicaulis</i> | X | |
| <i>Aster dumosus</i> | X | | <i>Tiarella cordifolia</i> | X | |
| <i>Aster divericatus</i> | X | | <i>Trientalis borealis</i> | X | X |
| <i>Chimaphila maculate.</i> | X | X | <i>Trillium erectum</i> | X | |
| <i>Circaea alpina</i> | X | X | <i>Trillium undulatum</i> | X | X |
| <i>Clintonia borealis</i> | X | | <i>Viola rotundifolia</i> | X | |
| <i>Cypripedium acaule</i> | X | | Graminoids | | |
| <i>Epigaea repens</i> | X | X | <i>Carex pensylvanica</i> | X | X |
| <i>Galium spp.</i> | X | X | Ferns | | |
| <i>Gaultheria procumbens</i> | X | X | <i>Adiantum pedatum</i> | X | |
| <i>Laportea canadensis</i> | X | | <i>Dryopteris intermedia</i> | X | X |
| <i>Maianthemum canadense</i> | X | | <i>Dryopteris marginalis</i> | X | |
| <i>Medeola virginiana</i> | X | | <i>Polypodium virginianum</i> | X | |
| <i>Mitchella repens</i> | X | X | <i>Thelypteris phegopteris</i> | X | |

3 **Table 2. (continued)**

| Species | OG | 2G | Species | OG | 2G |
|---------|----|----|---------|----|----|
|---------|----|----|---------|----|----|

| Shrubs | | | Club mosses | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| <i>Cornus alternifolia</i> | X | | <i>Lycopodium annotinum</i> | X | |
| <i>Hamamelis virginiana</i> | X | X | <i>Lycopodium lucidulum</i> | X | X |
| <i>Kalmia latifolia</i> | X | X | <i>Polystichum acrostichoides</i> | X | X |
| <i>Rhododendron canescens</i> | X | | | | |
| <i>Rubus allegheniensis</i> | X | | | | |
| <i>Rubus idaeus</i> | X | | | | |
| <i>Sambucus racemosa</i> | X | | | | |
| <i>Solidago flexicaulis</i> | X | | | | |
| <i>Taxus canadensis</i> | X | | | | |
| <i>Vaccinium angustifolium</i> | X | X | | | |
| <i>Viburnum acerifolium</i> | X | | | | |
| <i>Viburnum alnifolium</i> | X | X | | | |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 **Table 3.** Mean cover and site-level species richness (S), diversity (H'), and evenness (E) of all

10 herbaceous (herbs, ferns, graminoids, and club mosses) and shrub species in old-growth and

second-growth hemlock stands. Values are based on average cover and frequency of herb and shrub species across understory subplots within each study area (1 m², 24-40 per site) Standard errors are in parentheses and means with different letters are significantly different ($P < 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

| | | Old-growth ($n = 16$) | Second-growth ($n = 8$) |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Old-Growth ($n = 16$) | Second-Growth ($n=8$) |
| | Plant cover (%/m ²) | 4.02 (0.41)a | 1.06 (0.47)b |
| 7 | Richness (S) | 13.56 (1.11)a | 6.88 (0.83)b |
| 8 | Diversity (H') | 2.12 (0.08)a | 1.64 (0.11)b |
| 9 | Evenness (E) | 0.83 (0.01)a | 0.88 (0.02)b |

| Species | Seedlings (#/ha) | Saplings (#/ha) | Seedlings (#/ha) | Saplings (#/ha) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Acer pensylvanicum</i> | 13747 (417-7917) | 165 (0-383) | 4688 (417-13750) | 8 (0-25) |
| <i>Acer rubrum</i> | 1371 (0-7917) | 2 (0-25) | 3177 (0-12083) | 10 (0-25) |
| <i>Acer saccharum</i> | 677 (0-9167) | 18 (0-300) | - | 1 (0-8) |
| <i>Betula alleghaniensis</i> | - | 41 (0-169) | - | 3 (0-17) |
| <i>Betula lenta</i> | - | 74 (0-442) | - | 20 (0-67) |
| <i>Betula spp</i> ¹ . | 5722 (0-27083) | - | 2500 (417-9167) | - |
| <i>Betula papyrifera</i> | 15 (0-250) | - | 185 (0-1667) | - |
| <i>Fagus grandifolia</i> | 820 (0-3125) | 119 (0-363) | 990 (0-2917) | 66 (0-200) |
| <i>Picea rubens</i> | 1169 (0-5313) | 36 (0-275) | 52 (0-417) | 9 (0-75) |
| <i>Pinus strobus</i> | 83 (0-500) | - | - | - |
| <i>Quercus rubra</i> | 133 (0-1250) | 1 (0-8) | 313 (0-1250) | - |
| <i>Tsuga canadensis</i> | 13760 (1250-51250) | 354 (31-942) | 3958 (0-15833) | 189 (75-400) |
| Total ² | 38104 (5927)a | 847 (83)a | 14948 (4113)b | 306 (34)b |

1 **Table 4.** Average seedling and sapling densities (#/ha) in old-growth and second-growth
2 hemlock forests. Ranges appear in parentheses for each species and standard errors are depicted
3 for totals. Totals with different letters indicate significant differences between old-growth and
4 second-growth stands ($P < 0.05$, Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test). Species-level values represent site-
5 level averages, whereas totals represent averages across old-growth and second-growth stands,
6 respectively.

7 ¹Seedlings of *Betula alleghaniensis* and *B. lenta* were combined for estimates of seedling
8 density.

²Includes less common species not listed in table, *Fraxinus americana*, *Ostrya virginiana*, *Pinus resinosa*, *Sorbus americana*, and *Tilia americana*.

Table 5. Correlations (Kendall's τ) between the average percent cover of understory herbs, shrubs, and tree seedlings within each study area ($\%/m^2$) and non-metric multidimensional scaling Axis 1 and 2. Species in bold have significant correlations with axes scores ($P < 0.05$ (Bonferroni-protected)).

| Species | Axis 1 | Axis 2 | Species | Axis 1 | Axis 2 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| <i>Acer pensylvanicum</i> | -0.17 | 0.07 | <i>Mitchella repens</i> | 0.04 | -0.27 |
| <i>Acer rubrum</i> | 0.45 | 0.34 | <i>Monotropa uniflora</i> | 0.20 | 0.29 |
| <i>Acer saccharum</i> | -0.42 | -0.08 | <i>Oxalis acetosella</i> | -0.44 | 0.10 |
| <i>Acer spicatum</i> | -0.39 | -0.02 | <i>Picea rubens</i> | -0.30 | 0.17 |
| <i>Aralia nudicaulis</i> | -0.41 | 0.13 | <i>Polystichum acrostichoides</i> | -0.27 | 0.03 |
| <i>Aster acuminatis</i> | -0.48 | 0.12 | <i>Polypodium virginianum</i> | -0.55 | 0.12 |
| <i>Betula</i> spp. | -0.33 | 0.41 | <i>Quercus rubra</i> | 0.40 | 0.21 |
| <i>Dryopteris intermedia</i> | -0.52 | -0.17 | <i>Taxus canadensis</i> | -0.42 | 0.01 |
| <i>Fagus grandifolia</i> | 0.19 | -0.23 | <i>Trientalis borealis</i> | -0.37 | 0.13 |
| <i>Kalmia latifolia</i> | 0.18 | 0.56 | <i>Trillium undulatum</i> | 0.05 | 0.32 |
| <i>Lycopodium lucidulum</i> | -0.39 | -0.11 | <i>Tsuga canadensis</i> | -0.37 | 0.50 |
| <i>Maianthemum canadense</i> | -0.18 | 0.14 | <i>Viburnum alnifolium</i> | -0.35 | 0.15 |